

The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Digital Transformation: A Theoretical Analysis from the Perspectives of Organizational Capability and Value Creation

Haoda Yang*

Harbin Institute of Information Technology, Harbin 150431, China

*Corresponding email: 2160281724@qq.com

Abstract

In the digital economy, digital transformation has moved beyond information-system upgrades and isolated process automation toward the systematic reconstruction of organizational boundaries, business processes, value propositions, and governance arrangements. Artificial intelligence (AI) has become a major force in this development because it can process large-scale data, recognize patterns, generate predictions, and support increasingly autonomous interaction. This paper adopts a theoretical approach and integrates research on digital transformation, dynamic capabilities, artificial intelligence implementation, and sociotechnical systems to examine how artificial intelligence affects digital transformation. The analysis argues that artificial intelligence operates through four interconnected mechanisms: the conversion of data into organizational assets, the intelligence enhancement of decision making, the adaptive automation of processes, and the reconstruction of business models. These mechanisms also reshape organizational structures, talent requirements, innovation routines, and accountability relationships. Nevertheless, artificial intelligence is not an automatic source of transformation performance. Its value depends on the interaction of data quality, organizational learning, leadership support, cross-functional collaboration, human expertise, and governance institutions. Algorithmic opacity, data dependence, privacy risks, responsibility gaps, labor-market disruption, and unequal access may also constrain or reverse the expected benefits. Organizations should therefore treat artificial intelligence as an organizational capability-building project rather than as a software procurement exercise. The central implication is that sustainable digital transformation requires a feedback system connecting technology deployment, organizational change, and responsible governance.

Keywords

Artificial intelligence, Digital transformation, Dynamic capabilities, Business model innovation, Algorithmic governance

Introduction

Digital transformation is not equivalent to converting paper documents into electronic files, nor is it simply the local automation of existing procedures. The concept refers to the broad effects of digital technologies on organizational strategy, structure, processes, products, services, and value creation. Verhoef et al. distinguish among digitization, digitalization, and digital transformation as progressive stages, arguing that genuine transformation affects growth strategies, organizational structures, and performance metrics [1]. Hanelt et al. further show that digital transformation

often produces more flexible organizational designs and is embedded in digital business ecosystems composed of platforms, suppliers, customers, complementors, and other external actors [2].

The development of artificial intelligence has pushed digital transformation from connection and recording toward interpretation, prediction, and generation. Conventional digital technologies primarily improve the speed and reliability of information transmission. Artificial intelligence can identify patterns in large datasets, support judgment, generate content, and

continuously adjust outputs according to changing conditions. As a result, the central question of digital transformation is shifting from whether an organization possesses digital tools to whether it can convert data and algorithms into coordinated organizational action. Research on this relationship is therefore relevant not only to the economic value of new technology but also to the organizational and institutional conditions under which that value can be realized.

This paper addresses three theoretical questions. First, through what mechanisms does artificial intelligence change the content and depth of digital transformation? Second, how does artificial intelligence influence organizational capabilities and value creation? Third, how should organizations balance efficiency, innovation, accountability, and social responsibility while adopting artificial intelligence? The paper is purely theoretical and does not report original survey or experimental data.

Conceptual foundations and theoretical perspectives

The meaning of digital transformation

Digital transformation has three fundamental characteristics. First, it is systemic: The object of change includes not only technology platforms but also strategy, processes, structure, culture, and performance systems. Second, it is continuous: Organizations must repeatedly adjust to technological progress, changing user expectations, and competitive pressure rather than treating transformation as a one-time project. Third, it involves value reconstruction: Digital technologies may change how a firm interacts with customers, suppliers, employees, and ecosystem partners, thereby changing who receives value, how value is created, and how revenue is captured.

Wessel et al. distinguished digital transformation from ordinary Information Technology (IT)-enabled organizational transformation. Digital transformation may redefine an organization's value proposition and create a new organizational identity, whereas IT-enabled transformation more often supports or improves an existing value proposition [3]. This distinction is important for understanding artificial intelligence. An AI application that merely accelerates an existing reporting process represents efficiency-oriented digitalization. An AI-enabled service that changes the firm's relationship with customers or creates a new revenue logic represents

a deeper form of transformation.

Artificial intelligence as an organizational capability

If artificial intelligence is treated only as a technical tool, it is difficult to explain why the same algorithm produces very different outcomes in different organizations. Effective AI use generally requires high-quality data, data-governance arrangements, model-development expertise, domain knowledge, cross-functional collaboration, and sustained managerial support. Artificial intelligence is therefore better understood as a composite capability formed by the interaction of technological resources, data resources, human resources, and organizational routines.

Research on AI readiness emphasizes that organizations must prepare simultaneously in relation to strategy, people, data, infrastructure, and governance. Purchasing an algorithmic product cannot substitute for organizational preparation [4]. The organization must be able to identify appropriate use cases, assess data availability, integrate models with existing workflows, and interpret probabilistic outputs. It must also monitor model performance and redesign routines when conditions change. Artificial intelligence creates value only when these resources are combined into repeatable organizational capabilities.

The dynamic-capability perspective

Dynamic-capability theory provides a useful explanation of how artificial intelligence supports adaptation. Organizations first need sensing capabilities to identify customer needs, technological trends, operational anomalies, and competitive changes through data and analysis. They then need seizing capabilities to evaluate opportunities, allocate resources, and design responses through prediction, simulation, and experimentation. Finally, they need reconfiguration capabilities to embed new technologies in processes, structures, talent systems, and business models. Artificial intelligence can expand the speed, scale, and precision of these capabilities, but it cannot replace strategic judgment or solve coordination problems automatically.

Mechanisms through which artificial intelligence influences digital transformation

Converting data into organizational assets

Digital transformation depends on the ability to turn

information scattered across production, sales, service, and administrative activities into data that can be identified, connected, and analyzed. Artificial intelligence contributes to this process through natural-language processing, computer vision, knowledge graphs, and machine learning. These techniques can process text, images, speech, and behavioral traces previously difficult to structure. Data consequently becomes more than a by-product of business activity. It is input to forecasting, decision making, service design, and innovation.

An increase in the quantity of data does not automatically produce data value. Inconsistent standards, unreliable sources, unclear access rights, and data silos can all reduce the usefulness of algorithmic outputs. Artificial intelligence amplifies the strengths of an organization's data foundation, but it also amplifies its weaknesses. Data governance, quality control, and cross-functional data sharing are therefore preconditions for AI-enabled digital transformation. Organizations should establish data ownership, lifecycle management, access controls, and input-quality review instead of confusing data collection with data capability.

Enhancing decision intelligence

Traditional organizational decisions often depend on managerial experience, hierarchical reporting, and periodic summaries. Such processes are slow and vulnerable to incomplete information. Artificial intelligence can support real-time analysis, predictive alerts, and scenario simulation, enabling organizations to move from post hoc explanation toward anticipation and dynamic adjustment. In customer relationship management, supply-chain management, risk control, and human-resource management, AI can convert complex information into decision alternatives. Research on AI-enabled Customer Relationship Management (CRM) suggests that technology capability produces digitalization benefits only when it is combined with employee skills, leadership support, and favorable psychological conditions for change [5].

Decision intelligence should not be equated with the complete replacement of human judgment. Because algorithms learn from historical data, they may reproduce past patterns and biases. In uncertain or value-sensitive settings, managers must still define

objectives, evaluate evidence, resolve exceptions, and accept responsibility. A more appropriate model is human-AI collaboration: Artificial intelligence expands the range of information that can be processed and identifies complex patterns, while people set goals, review assumptions, handle exceptions, and remain accountable for consequential decisions.

Making processes adaptive

Traditional automation operates according to predetermined rules. Artificial intelligence can identify anomalies, forecast demand, and adjust resource allocation as conditions change. It therefore supports a transition from fixed and linear automation toward adaptive systems with feedback and learning. In customer service, an intelligent agent can infer user intent and construct responses. In manufacturing, an AI system can predict equipment failure and schedule maintenance. In supply chains, an algorithm can revise inventory and distribution decisions in response to demand fluctuations.

The value of adaptive processes lies not only in cost reduction but also in shorter response times. Organizations can identify problems earlier, test solutions faster, and revise procedures through continuous learning. Excessive automation, however, may create a new form of rigidity if employees simply follow model recommendations without developing the ability to recognize abnormal conditions. AI-enabled processes should therefore retain human intervention, escalation rules, model review, and exception management. A sustainable arrangement follows a cycle of automated operation, human supervision, and continuous correction.

Reconstructing business models

The deepest effect of artificial intelligence on digital transformation concerns the business model. Firms can use algorithms to provide personalized products, predictive services, dynamic pricing, and intelligent interaction. Digital business model research emphasizes that when technology becomes embedded in business activity, firms must reconsider how value is created, delivered, and captured rather than treating digital tools as an accessory to an unchanged business [6].

Generative artificial intelligence further reduces the cost of producing content, designing products, delivering

knowledge services, and interacting with customers. Smaller organizations may therefore offer customized services with relatively limited investment. At the same time, AI changes the composition of competitive resources. Advantages once based mainly on scale, distribution channels, and accumulated experience increasingly depend on data, models, use cases, domain knowledge, and ecosystem coordination. Digital transformation consequently moves from improving existing efficiency toward redefining the boundaries of the business.

Organizational consequences of AI-driven digital transformation

From hierarchical control to networked coordination

Artificial intelligence reduces the cost of information acquisition and analysis. Front-line employees can access more timely information, and cross-functional collaboration can become less dependent on lengthy reporting chains. Organizations may respond by reducing some intermediary coordination activities and organizing work around projects, platforms, and data. Digital transformation already requires continuous adaptation; artificial intelligence raises the frequency and complexity of that adaptation.

Structural flexibility does not mean that management disappears. It creates a need for a new allocation of authority. Organizations must clarify who owns the data, who is responsible for the model, who approves automated decisions, and who handles adverse consequences. Without explicit responsibility, AI adoption may produce a governance gap in which everyone uses the system but no one is accountable for its results.

From single skills to composite competence

AI applications require the participation of technical specialists, business professionals, and managers. Technical specialists need to understand business problems; business professionals need basic data and model literacy; and managers need to assess the strategic value and risk boundaries of AI projects. Research on AI implementation identifies project planning, co-development, data management, and model-lifecycle management as important capabilities for turning experimental solutions into stable applications [7].

Training should therefore go beyond software operation. It should develop problem definition, data interpretation, model questioning, cross-functional communication, and ethical judgment. Future competition will not be determined only by which organization possesses the strongest model. It will also depend on which organization can embed models in work more quickly, learn from their limitations, and redesign routines without losing human expertise.

From linear research and development to continuous experimentation

Artificial intelligence reduces the cost of market analysis, product prototyping, and content generation. Firms can consequently experiment through small-scale pilots, rapid feedback, and continuous iteration. Research on AI-driven digital transformation suggests that firms commonly pass through contextual assessment, organizational readiness, pilot integration, scaling, and continuing leadership. The process is path-dependent and evolutionary rather than instantaneous [8].

AI projects should therefore be introduced in stages. Organizations can begin with use cases that have clear problems, adequate data, manageable risks, and measurable benefits. After evaluating technical feasibility, cost, employee acceptance, and governance implications, they can decide whether to scale. Immediate organization-wide deployment often produces uncontrolled costs, resistance, and systems that are technically impressive but operationally unusable.

Risks and governance boundaries

The first risk is lack of explainability. In recruitment, credit, healthcare, and public services, organizations cannot assess an AI system only through predictive accuracy. They must consider data provenance, decision logic, affected-party notification, and appeal mechanisms. The second risk is data dependence, creating exposure to privacy violations, misuse, and cyberattacks. The third risk concerns generative AI, including fabricated information, intellectual-property disputes, and uncertainty about responsibility. The fourth risk is labor-market restructuring: Repetitive tasks may decline while demand for data management, model oversight, and complex service work increases. The fifth risk is a widening digital divide when AI resources are concentrated in large firms and regions.

AI governance should therefore be organized across the entire model lifecycle rather than added as a post-deployment audit. Organizations need risk identification during design, and bias testing and access control during deployment. They also need continuous monitoring of model drift and abnormal outputs during operation, plus proper data disposal and responsibility tracing when a system is retired. Research on AI adoption presents technology, organization, and people as three dimensions that digital leaders must evaluate together [9]. AI governance is consequently not an internal matter for the technology department alone.

Ethical governance must also be connected with business governance. A model may be technically accurate but inappropriate for a particular use case. A system may improve efficiency while reducing employee autonomy and trust. Organizations should establish transparent rules, employee training, user feedback, independent review, and clear escalation procedures. Recent organizational research on AI-powered digital transformation likewise emphasizes that process optimization, organizational change, and human adaptation must proceed together [10].

Theoretical implications for advancing AI-driven digital transformation

First, organizations should move from a technology-centered approach to a problem-centered approach. The starting point of an AI project should not be the popularity of a particular model, but a clearly defined problem in customer experience, operational performance, risk management, or business innovation. A close fit between technology and organizational need is the basis of transformation value.

Second, data foundations and organizational capabilities should be developed before large-scale model deployment. When data standards, access rules, talent structures, and process responsibilities are weak, expanding AI applications merely spreads existing problems. AI projects should be integrated into the organization's broader digital architecture, with unified management of data, models, applications, and responsibilities.

Third, organizations should use staged and evaluable transformation paths. A low-risk pilot can establish

technical feasibility and reveal organizational resistance. The next stage should assess cost, benefit, employee acceptance, customer effects, and governance risk before scaling. Evaluation should include not only financial return but also decision quality, customer satisfaction, employee learning, model stability, fairness, and risk events.

Fourth, human-AI collaboration should become a long-term organizational principle. Artificial intelligence is well suited to high-volume, complex, and computational tasks. People remain better positioned to set goals, make value judgments, coordinate relationships, understand context, and assume responsibility. Effective digital transformation does not simply replace organizational actors with machines; it gives the organization stronger capabilities to sense, learn, and act.

Conclusion

Artificial intelligence is changing the technological foundations, organizational logic, and value-creation mechanisms of digital transformation. It expands the cognitive foundation of the firm by converting data into usable assets, improves information processing through intelligent decision support, increases responsiveness through adaptive processes, and expands the boundaries of value creation through business model innovation. Compared with conventional digital tools, artificial intelligence intervenes more deeply in judgment, prediction, and generation. Its consequences therefore include not only efficiency gains but also the reconfiguration of organizational structures, talent requirements, innovation routines, and governance responsibilities.

The central conclusion is that artificial intelligence is not a sufficient condition for successful digital transformation. It is a technological lever whose effects depend on organizational capabilities. Firms must build data foundations, dynamic capabilities, interdisciplinary talent, and governance institutions at the same time if they want to convert AI potential into stable transformation performance. Future research should examine industry differences, firm-size effects, the long-term consequences of generative AI, and the institutional conditions for human-AI collaboration. These directions can clarify the boundary conditions

under which artificial intelligence supports, rather than undermines, sustainable digital transformation.

Funding

This work was not supported by any fund.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to show sincere thanks to those technicians who have contributed to this research.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Verhoef, P. C., Broekhuizen, T., Bart, Y., Bhattacharya, A., Dong, J. Q., Fabian, N., Haenlein, M. (2021) Digital transformation: a multidisciplinary reflection and research agenda. *Journal of Business Research*, 122, 889-901.
- [2] Hanelt, A., Bohnsack, R., Marz, D., Antunes Marante, C. (2021) A systematic review of the literature on digital transformation: insights and implications for strategy and organizational change. *Journal of Management Studies*, 58(5), 1159-1197.
- [3] Wessel, L. K., Baiyere, A., Ologeanu-Taddei, R., Cha, J., Jensen, T. B. (2021) Unpacking the difference between digital transformation and IT-enabled organizational transformation. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*, 22(1), 102-129.
- [4] Holmström, J. (2022) From AI to digital transformation: the AI readiness framework. *Business Horizons*, 65(3), 329-339.
- [5] Chatterjee, S., Chaudhuri, R., Vrontis, D., Jabeen, F. (2022) Digital transformation of organization using AI-CRM: from microfoundational perspective with leadership support. *Journal of Business Research*, 153, 46-58.
- [6] Trischler, M. F. G., Li-Ying, J. (2023) Digital business model innovation: toward construct clarity and future research directions. *Review of Managerial Science*, 17(1), 3-32.
- [7] Weber, M., Engert, M., Schaffer, N., Weking, J., Krcmar, H. (2023) Organizational capabilities for AI implementation - Coping with inscrutability and data dependency in AI. *Information Systems Frontiers*, 25(4), 1549-1569.
- [8] Taherizadeh, A., Beaudry, C. (2023) An emergent grounded theory of AI-driven digital transformation: Canadian SMEs' perspectives. *Industry and Innovation*, 30(9), 1244-1273.
- [9] Tursunbayeva, A., Gal, H. C. B. (2024) Adoption of artificial intelligence: a TOP framework-based checklist for digital leaders. *Business Horizons*, 67(4), 357-368.
- [10] Chrzanowska, E., Chrzanowski, M., Zawada, P. (2024) AI-powered digital transformation-organizational perspective. Literature review. *Journal of Modern Science*, 60(6), 429-442.