

Knowledge-based Short Videos in Digital Learning Ecosystems: Content Production, Quality Assurance, and Learning Effectiveness

Can Zheng*

School of Fine Arts and Media, Jiangsu Second Normal University, Nanjing 210013, China

*Corresponding email: canzheng1228@jssnu.edu.cn

Abstract

Knowledge-based short videos have become a significant medium for informal learning on platforms like TikTok and YouTube Shorts. However, their educational value hinges on their production methods, the mechanisms for maintaining quality, and the evaluation of learning outcomes. This article provides a comprehensive theoretical analysis of these three dimensions within digital learning ecosystems. Drawing on research into educational videos, studies on microlearning, and the field of platform governance, it addresses three key questions: How creators apply instructional design principles to the extremely short format? How quality can be assured in decentralized production environments lacking institutional oversight? How learning effectiveness should be measured when consumption is fragmented and influenced by algorithms? The analysis concludes that short videos can support learning if they are grounded in evidence-based instructional design. However, it also finds that engagement metrics often prioritize attention over understanding, and that increased engagement does not necessarily lead to deeper learning. The paper proposes a multi-level framework for quality assurance, involving platform-level interventions to ensure accuracy, creator adoption of transparent practices, and community validation. A corresponding evaluation framework distinguishes between engagement metrics, cognitive measures, and outcome-based assessments. This work provides a theoretically grounded explanation of how knowledge disseminates and can be quality-controlled within short-video ecosystems and offers design and assessment guidelines for creators, platforms, and educators.

Keywords

Knowledge-based short video, Microlearning, Content quality, Learning effectiveness

Introduction

Short-video platforms have moved well beyond their original role as sources of entertainment and are now being used as settings for significant learning. On platforms such as TikTok, YouTube Shorts, and others, millions of videos cover topics including languages, science, history, and professional skills. An increasing number of young adults now treat these sites as part of their daily routine for obtaining information, rather than using them only occasionally as a means of study assistance. Surveys of people of university age show that the reason for adopting these platforms is that users find them useful and easy to use, and that the way in which people adopt them differs between short-form and long-form video services [1]. At the same time, systematic reviews of microlearning media indicate that short videos can boost motivation, engagement, and initial

understanding, although they also point out limitations in terms of the depth of conceptual understanding when dealing with complex material [2].

The research problem examined in this paper combines promise with limitation. Research on media literacy and information fragmentation has examined a related challenge on digital short-video platforms [3]. However, whether engagement with short-form video supports deeper learning remains a distinct educational question. This paper addresses that question by examining the creative decisions of content producers, the quality control implemented by platforms, and the evaluation tools accessible to teachers and researchers.

This paper is written for three reasons. First, current research on educational videos has concentrated on formal instructional contexts, while the production

processes specific to decentralized short-video ecosystems have not been properly explained. These ecosystems allow professional educators, amateurs, and organizations to publish side by side without shared editorial standards. Second, in such ecosystems, quality control cannot rely on the institutional supervision that applies to academic publishing and formal course materials. It remains unclear how quality criteria can emerge from features of platform design, creators' practices, and user interactions. Third, the effectiveness of learning in informal settings, where content is accessed via algorithms, cannot be assessed using traditional course evaluations. Current engagement metrics conflate attention with comprehension, thereby overestimating educational value in a way that researchers and practitioners may not fully recognize.

The paper addresses these gaps by conducting an integrated theoretical analysis with three objectives: First, to describe how instructional design principles are applied in creating knowledge-based short videos. Second, to establish a multi-level framework for quality assurance at the platform, creator, and community levels. Third, to propose an evaluation framework for learning effectiveness appropriate for fragmented and asynchronous consumption. The remainder of the paper examines theoretical foundations, analyzes production mechanisms, develops the quality assurance framework, considers the evaluation of learning effectiveness, and concludes with a discussion of implications and future directions.

Theoretical foundations

Learning from video: Evidence and design principles

The educational value of video has long been acknowledged in formal educational settings. A systematic review of randomized trials in higher education, encompassing 105 studies and 7,776 students, found that replacing existing teaching with video resulted in only minor learning gains. In contrast, supplementing current teaching with video yielded considerable advantages [4]. Video is not inherently superior to other teaching methods, its value lies in how it is integrated with sound pedagogical practices. This is particularly relevant in the context of short-video ecosystems, as most knowledge-based short videos are consumed as isolated pieces, lacking the instructional integration that proved effective in the reviewed trials.

This is evident from the relevant design principles. The evidence-based principles developed by Mayer for instructional videos emphasize effective design through managing cognitive load, using signalling and segmentation to guide selective attention, and encouraging active processing via prompts and practice opportunities [5]. Although these principles were originally formulated for instructional videos as a whole, their application in ultra-short formats requires establishing a design hypothesis rather than assuming the principles will directly apply. A sixty-second video can typically cover only a single concept, while context and practice must be distributed across multiple videos. Thus, the challenge in short-video production lies in adaptation, not in the principles themselves.

Microlearning and self-directed learning

Research on microlearning views short videos as focused learning units designed for easy consumption and immediate application. A systematic review of microlearning strategies in the digital age illustrates how such units facilitate flexible, self-paced study in both formal and informal settings [6]. Evidence from social media learning supports the motivational dimension of this perspective. Studies on the gamification of digital platforms have demonstrated significant improvements in learners' capacity for self-regulation, suggesting that such environments can actively cultivate learning behaviors rather than merely transmitting content [7].

However, systematic reviews also highlight limitations in this domain. Reviews of short-video material based on microlearning reveal that while consistent gains in motivation and engagement are evident, the short and fragmented nature of the content can hinder deep conceptual understanding. Consequently, they recommend that this media be used as a component of broader instructional methods, such as blended learning, rather than as a standalone form of instruction. Theoretically, therefore, microlearning should be regarded as a complement to, not a replacement for, structured learning.

Algorithmic platforms and engagement

The fourth foundational element concerns the platform layer. Digital platform ecosystems evolve from proprietary platforms into expansive ecosystems where third-party creators, recommendation systems, and user communities interact [8]. In these ecosystems, algorithmic recommendations determine what users see.

Research on algorithmic harm indicates that automated selection can lead to errors, facilitate manipulation, and exacerbate existing inequalities. This harm stems not from the algorithms themselves but from the interplay between algorithmic design, platform incentives, and user behavior [9]. Empirical studies on engagement with short-form video offer a pertinent insight for content creation. An analysis of 2,511 TikTok videos found a non-linear relationship between audiovisual features and engagement, with these features exhibiting an inverted U-shaped relationship with user engagement [10]. Consequently, creators seeking algorithmic visibility face design pressures that may not align with instructional quality.

Content production mechanisms and pedagogical adaptation

A creator typology for short-video ecosystems

Knowledge-based short video creators fall into three types with distinct resources, incentives, and constraints. Professional educators have strong knowledge and training but must adapt to entertainment-oriented platform norms. Amateur enthusiasts offer authenticity, though verification varies. Institutional producers possess superior resources and credibility, but their content often serves as introductory rather than standalone material. Table 1 summarizes this classification and its quality implications.

Table 1. Creator typology in knowledge-based short video ecosystems.

Dimension	Professional educators	Amateur enthusiasts	Institutional producers
Core strengths	Disciplinary depth; pedagogical expertise	Authenticity; relatability; peer resonance	Production resources; brand credibility
Typical production	Scripted, segmented, fact-checked content	Spontaneous, single-take explanations	Team-produced series linked to formal offerings
Main quality risks	Platform convention mismatch; reduced reach	Factual errors; missing sourcing; oversimplification	Genericity; weak alignment with informal viewing habits
Typical quality practices	Internal review; source citation	Community feedback; iterative correction	Editorial oversight; expert consultation

The typology is to be used as an analytical tool, not as proof that the literature has empirically established the existence of three exhaustive categories of creators. The examples given illustrate some of the advantages and limitations involved. They do not demonstrate that every creator who falls into a given category follows the practices mentioned. For example, public health educators have used TikTok to create short summaries of content, explain complex theories, and connect students with expert opinions. Student feedback has indicated increased interest and an easier time applying what was learned [11]. Studies examining vocabulary acquisition via TikTok have found consistently positive reactions from university students, and research on captioned video genres has linked incidental vocabulary learning to repetition and viewing behaviours associated with working memory [12,13]. These cases show that professional practice can be adapted to the format when the objective is instructional, but they do not prove that such an effect occurs generally in all knowledge-based short videos.

Design adaptations under format constraints

The short format calls for a deliberate modification of well-known design principles, and three such adjustments are often cited in the literature. The first of these is conceptual atomization: Each video focuses on a single concept, example, or application, in keeping with the segmenting principle. This approach passes the responsibility for ensuring coherence to the series level, as a single sixty-second clip cannot on its own provide the necessary context. The second is multimodal compression: On-screen text, animation, and visual metaphors are used to convey information which could not be communicated through narration alone, thus reducing the amount of extraneous material. However, this requires a level of design skill that many amateur creators lack. The third is generative embedding: The videos include questions, pauses, or incomplete demonstrations to prompt viewers to retrieve information, make predictions, or give explanations before the answer is provided.

This proposal is based on indirect evidence. In a field

experiment with 218 undergraduate students, the group that produced video explanations in a single take performed better than those who gave written explanations on both immediate memory and transfer tests [14]. These single-take videos refer to unedited oral presentations accompanied by handwritten visual aids. The fact that the study involved learners preparing their own explanations, rather than just passive viewing, supports the following conditional assertion. A short video can benefit from generative prompts, provided that viewers actually pause to retrieve information, make predictions, or give explanations, and that this is followed by feedback or application of the material. It is essential not to interpret this as proof that simply watching a question-and-answer video causes the same effect.

Accuracy practices and their limits

Content accuracy is the weakest aspect of decentralized production. While professional educators can draw upon their training and conduct internal reviews, and institutional producers benefit from editorial oversight, most amateurs publish without having their work examined by experts. Instead, they rely on post-

publication comments, which are reactive rather than preventive. Consequently, source transparency also varies: When creators include references in the video or its description, learners can verify the claims. If they do not, the content's credibility depends entirely on the quality of the presentation, which is a poor proxy for accuracy. Since the platform's algorithm prioritizes engaging presentation over verified content, accuracy must be regarded as a separate quality assurance issue. The distributional implication must be clearly stated: The creators most capable of providing accurate explanations are often not the same as those most capable of delivering compelling presentations, and the platform's ranking system cannot distinguish between them based on engagement data alone.

Quality assurance across ecosystem levels

Quality assurance in decentralized ecosystems cannot rely on a single actor. Instead, it requires a comprehensive framework with mechanisms operating at three mutually reinforcing levels: platform, creator, and community to collectively mitigate misinformation and ensure content reliability, as detailed in Table 2.

Table 2. A multi-level quality assurance framework for knowledge-based short videos.

Level	Mechanisms	Supporting evidence	Design implications
Platform	Accuracy prompts; credential visibility; source-surfacing interfaces; educational-mission incentives	Accuracy prompts reduce misinformation sharing; algorithmic harms arise from socio-technical design choices	Make accuracy salient at the moment of sharing; surface creator credentials and sources; develop quality-sensitive ranking
Creator	Source transparency; segmented, evidence-based design; correction practices	Evidence-based design principles; documented educator practice on TikTok	Adopt citation norms; design for active processing; publish visible corrections
Community	Comment-section clarification; crowdsourced error identification; multi-source comparison	Social media micro-ecosystems support collaboration and validation while facing distraction and literacy limits	Design reputation systems resistant to gaming; elevate expert voices; support structured peer review

At the platform level, the most compelling evidence comes from research on accuracy interventions. Large-scale studies have shown that when users' attention is directed toward accuracy - via brief prompts at the moment of sharing - their intention to spread misinformation online decreases [15]. This finding is significant for short-video ecosystems because it demonstrates that platform design can improve the

quality of content circulation without resorting to extensive censorship. The intervention works by appealing to users' own desire for accuracy, rather than by removing content. More broadly, research on algorithmic harm suggests that as platform power grows, platform governance should address the socio-technical mechanisms through which harm occurs by combining internal platform measures with external oversight. In

this context as well, the evolution of these ecosystems matters: As platforms shift from closed services to expansive ecosystems, quality assurance becomes a governance issue involving multiple actors, not one that can be managed entirely by the platform itself.

At the creator level, quality practices translate the design principles mentioned earlier into concrete actions such as properly citing sources, organizing content logically, and issuing corrections when errors occur. Although the documented health-education case shows that this approach can be implemented in a specific domain, it does not by itself demonstrate that these procedures improve learning outcomes in other subjects.

At the community level, the systematic review characterizes social media as a kind of learning micro-ecosystem that can foster collaboration, engagement, and motivation, while also noting distraction, privacy risks, and limited digital literacy as major challenges [16]. It suggests that comment sections could provide a possible, though unstructured, form of validation, since errors can be identified, claims contextualized, and additional sources supplied. However, these remain design possibilities rather than a guaranteed means of quality control, due to uneven comment visibility and varying levels of expertise among contributors. The core insight of the framework is that no single element is sufficient on its own: Platform prompts are ineffective if creators consistently disregard accuracy. Creator norms remain weak without community enforcement, and community validation cannot handle the volume of content typically managed by platform-level design.

Evaluating learning effectiveness

Why engagement metrics fail

The kinds of metrics employed by short-video platforms, such as the number of views, watch time, completion rates, the number of likes, and the number of shares, measure attention and reach rather than learning. These two constructs are not only different but capable of systematic divergence. Videos designed to elicit rapid emotional responses build up engagement signals that no careful or detailed explanation could achieve, while content that is truly difficult may show low levels of engagement even if it has greater learning value. This kind of difference is genuinely important. The referenced mixed-methods study finds associations between the use

of short-form video, engagement, and learning indicators within its own sample. Even so, it also emphasizes that engagement does not necessarily result in deeper learning when the content lacks coherence or proper instructional guidance. The study's conclusions should therefore be regarded as specific, context-dependent evidence demonstrating that attention and learning can be separated, not as a general evaluation of the effectiveness of short videos. Since visual and audio features influence engagement in non-linear ways, engagement figures cannot even serve as a reliable substitute for content quality: Two videos with the same engagement profile can still differ considerably in terms of their instructional design. For these reasons, any evaluation system must treat engagement as a measure of attention, not as a measure of cognitive or outcome aspects.

A three-tier evaluation framework

An appropriate evaluation framework for informal, algorithm-mediated learning should define three levels. The engagement level documents the amount of attention and interaction received, with reference to the interpretive comments already mentioned. The cognitive level examines what viewers actually understand through multiple assessment approaches. These approaches include comprehension questions included in or linked to the videos, delayed retention tests of the material, and transfer tasks that require learners to apply the concepts to new situations. Research on video-based learning provides support for this kind of distinction, since learner-generated explanations can improve memory and transfer, and video can have a positive impact on learning when used in conjunction with effective teaching methods. Yet neither of these findings demonstrates that passive viewing is equivalent to providing an explanation or proves that each short video will result in such outcomes. The outcome level involves the application of knowledge outside the context of viewing. This is measured through learners' self-reported gains in learning, their performance in related learning activities, and long-term indicators of how the knowledge is put into practice. As the reviews of microlearning indicate, this kind of integration should be achieved by incorporating short videos into broader learning approaches rather than treating them as independent instruction. Research on learning platforms shows that

analytics-driven environments can assist in promoting self-regulated learning.

Methodological constraints

It is necessary for the framework to acknowledge the methodological limitations when evaluating informal learning. Since learning is self-organized and occurs asynchronously, allowing individuals to select the material that interests them, to move freely through it, and to come across information from a wide variety of sources, the kind of controlled exposure situations used in formal research are not applicable. The problem of attributing learning is thus the most difficult - one cannot generally associate knowledge demonstrated weeks after viewing with any specific video. In practice, this requires the use of a triangulation approach. That is, combining data on users' behavior as recorded by the platform with embedded tests of comprehension and periodic assessments of knowledge, and drawing conclusions only when the evidence is convergent rather than depending on any single measure. It also demands a certain humility when making claims, since a great deal of what short-video ecosystems clearly produce is merely engagement, motivation, and initial exposure, while more significant learning outcomes rely on the structured integration provided by combined methods.

Conclusion

The article offers a comprehensive theoretical examination of knowledge-based short videos, viewing content production, quality assurance, and learning effectiveness as interconnected processes within digital learning ecosystems. Three conclusions may be drawn. First, the degree of production quality in short-video ecosystems varies according to the type of creator. The ability to adapt effectively to the ultra-short format, achieved through conceptual atomization, multimodal compression, and generative embedding, stems directly from evidence-based design principles rather than from platform conventions. Second, quality assurance must be multi-level. Evidence suggests that platform-level accuracy prompts can reduce users' willingness to share misinformation, that creator-level transparency and design routines can be achieved within the format, and that community interaction provides a distributed but uneven opportunity for error detection. Yet each level compensates for the shortcomings that the other levels cannot address. Third, when evaluating learning

effectiveness, it is essential to distinguish between attention and comprehension. Engagement metrics alone are insufficient to establish educational value, but a three-tier framework combining engagement, cognitive, and outcome measures can produce defensible evidence even when informal learning attribution constraints are considered.

In principle, the paper contributes by integrating research on video learning, existing scholarship on microlearning, and the field of platform governance to provide a unified explanation of how knowledge moves within short-video ecosystems. It further explains why engagement must be treated as an inadequate proxy for learning in such environments. In practice, however, the analysis offers creators evidence-based guidelines for design and sourcing. It enables platforms to engage in quality improvement in a low-cost manner using research findings and provides educators with criteria for selecting short videos and incorporating them into structured learning programs. For creators, it is important to establish the right priorities: Accuracy verification and source transparency should take precedence because implementing design refinements without verified content only serves to amplify existing errors. For platforms, accuracy prompts along with clearer source or credential indicators offer testable governance measures that do not require the immediate deletion of content. Their feasibility and effectiveness should therefore be evaluated rather than assumed, especially since a change in users' sharing behavior does not necessarily improve the accuracy of the original content. As for educators, the creator typology and the distinction between engagement and comprehension provide a screening mechanism. Educators should prefer sources that cite verifiable references and exhibit segmented design, and view high engagement as a signal warranting further examination rather than as a final judgment.

Future research should empirically validate the proposed framework. This can be accomplished by: (1) Comparing learning outcomes linked to analytically defined creator roles. (2) Investigating how accuracy and source-transparency features affect both user engagement and comprehension. (3) Designing retention tests that are appropriate for the fragmented, multi-creator nature of modern content consumption. Studies specifically need to distinguish between passive exposure and prompted

retrieval, as well as between learner-generated explanation and treating them as part of a single generative process. As short-video platforms become increasingly important for informal learning, the question is no longer whether learning occurs on these platforms, but whether the mechanisms responsible for ensuring accuracy and assessability can keep pace with the format's reach.

Funding

This work was supported by the General Project of Philosophy and Social Science Research in Colleges and Universities of Jiangsu Province, China (Grant No: 2025SJYB0355).

Acknowledgements

The author would like to show sincere thanks to those technicians who have contributed to this research.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declare no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Sun, J., Lee, S. K., Wong, N. (2026) Technology acceptance model in video-sharing platforms: comparisons between TikTok and YouTube. *Frontiers in Computer Science*, 8, 1883472.
- [2] Kholilah, D., Istiqhfah, D. I., Safitri, R. A., Wahidah, I. N., Razaqi, R. S. (2025) Effectiveness of microlearning-based learning media Tiktok and Youtube shorts: review critical towards knowledge transfer and depth understanding draft. *Journal on Research and Review of Educational Innovation*, 3(3), 18-28.
- [3] Yu, T., Wei, C., Na, M., Alam, S. S. (2025) Enhancing media literacy to combat information fragmentation in digital short video platforms: a cross-sectional study. *Scientific Reports*, 16(1), 203.
- [4] Noetel, M., Griffith, S., Delaney, O., Sanders, T., Parker, P., del Pozo Cruz, B., Lonsdale, C. (2021) Video improves learning in higher education: a systematic review. *Review of Educational Research*, 91(2), 204-236.
- [5] Mayer, R. E. (2021) Evidence-based principles for how to design effective instructional videos. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition*, 10(2), 229.
- [6] Alias, N. F., Razak, R. A. (2025) Revolutionizing learning in the digital age: a systematic literature review of microlearning strategies. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 33(1), 1-21.
- [7] Alhalafawy, W., Zaki, M. T. (2022) How has gamification within digital platforms affected self-regulated learning skills during the COVID-19 pandemic? Mixed-methods research. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (IJET)*, 17(6), 123-151.
- [8] Nerbel, J. F., Kreutzer, M. (2023) Digital platform ecosystems in flux: from proprietary digital platforms to wide-spanning ecosystems: JF Nerbel, M. Kreutzer. *Electronic Markets*, 33(1), 6.
- [9] Saurwein, F., Spencer-Smith, C. (2021) Automated trouble: the role of algorithmic selection in harms on social media platforms. *Media and Communication*, 9(4), 222-233.
- [10] Xiao, L., Li, X., Mou, J. (2026) Exploring user engagement behavior with short-form video advertising on short-form video platforms: a visual-audio perspective. *Internet Research*, 36(1), 154-188.
- [11] Holt, N. (2026) Using Tiktok as an Educational Tool for Health Students. *Compass: Journal of Learning and Teaching in Higher Education*, 18(2), 23-28.
- [12] Alshreef, N. R., Khadawardi, H. A. (2023) Using TikTok as a tool for English vocabulary learning in the EFL context. *English Language Teaching*, 16(10), 125.
- [13] Teng, M. F. (2025) Incidental vocabulary learning from captioned video genres: vocabulary knowledge, comprehension, repetition, and working memory. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 38(5-6), 1301-1340.
- [14] Börger, J., Spilles, M., Krull, J., Hagen, T., Hennemann, T. (2023) Fostering university students' learning performance using the one-take video approach. *Active Learning in Higher Education*, 24(3), 305-319.
- [15] Pennycook, G., Epstein, Z., Mosleh, M., Arechar, A. A., Eckles, D., Rand, D. G. (2021) Shifting attention to accuracy can reduce misinformation online. *Nature*, 592(7855), 590-595.
- [16] Agustin, N., Rahayu, S. (2025) The role of social media as a micro-ecosystem in supporting community-based e-learning platforms: a systematic literature review. *Journal of Technology Informatics and Engineering*, 4(3), 369-390.